

### WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting for questions submitted by a member of the public who either lives or works in the area of the authority.

The question will be answered without discussion. The person who asked the question may ask one relevant supplementary question, which shall be put and answered without discussion. The person to whom a question, or supplementary question, has been put may decline to answer it.

The following written questions have been received from members of the public.

#### (a) Roy Pennington

Given the need for transparency, well-being and true democracy and given that Hanover & Elm Grove Residents Parking Review confined the area in question to the streets specified by the accompanying map and no other streets either in adjoining zones or otherwise, thus preventing the creation of any smaller zone or zones or amalgamating any of those streets into one of the current zones, is not the consultation so seriously flawed that any subsequent TRO will be completely compromised?

#### (b) Sandra Magson

“Regarding Councillor Theobald’s response to our deputation at full Council on 15<sup>th</sup> July 2010 requesting strong evidence of a clear community view for change prior to embarking on the process of another consultation, would Councillor Theobald agree that:

- a) a petition signed by 1,900 park users and
- b) the support of:
  - 1)the constituency M.P.
  - 2)the Councillors for Queens Park ward
  - 3)local schools
  - 4)the two most influential local residents groups for Queens Park ( Friends of Queens Park and Local Action Team) constitute significant and substantial evidence of such community support.

If not, what further evidence is required to persuade Councillor Theobald to set in motion the process for reinstatement of the dog free zone in the park?”

